The Brezis-Browder Theorem in a general Banach space

Heinz H. Bauschke[*], Jonathan M. Borwein[†], Xianfu Wang[‡], and Liangjin Yao[§]

December 22, 2011

Abstract

During the 1970s Brezis and Browder presented a now classical characterization of maximal monotonicity of monotone linear relations in reflexive spaces. In this paper, we extend (and refine) their result to a general Banach space. We also provide an affirmative answer to a problem posed by Phelps and Simons.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:
Primary 47A06, 47H05; Secondary 47B65, 47N10, 90C25

Keywords: Adjoint, Brezis-Browder Theorem, Fenchel conjugate, linear relation, maximally monotone operator, monotone operator, operator of type (D), operator of type (FP), operator of type (NI), set-valued operator, skew operator, symmetric operator.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that

$$X \text{ is a real Banach space with norm } \|\cdot\|,$$

that X^* is the continuous dual of X, and that X and X^* are paired by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The *closed unit ball* in X is denoted by $B_X = \big\{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\big\}$, and $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.

[*]Mathematics, Irving K. Barber School, University of British Columbia, Kelowna, B.C. V1V 1V7, Canada. E-mail: heinz.bauschke@ubc.ca.

[†]CARMA, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales 2308, Australia. E-mail: jonathan.borwein@newcastle.edu.au. Distinguished Professor King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah.

[‡]Mathematics, Irving K. Barber School, University of British Columbia, Kelowna, B.C. V1V 1V7, Canada. E-mail: shawn.wang@ubc.ca.

[§]Mathematics, Irving K. Barber School, University of British Columbia, Kelowna, B.C. V1V 1V7, Canada. E-mail: ljinyao@interchange.ubc.ca.

We identify X with its canonical image in the bidual space X^{**}. As always, $X \times X^*$ and $(X \times X^*)^* = X^* \times X^{**}$ are paired via

$$\langle (x, x^*), (y^*, y^{**}) \rangle = \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle x^*, y^{**} \rangle,$$

where $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ and $(y^*, y^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}$. The norm on $X \times X^*$, written as $\|\cdot\|_1$, is defined by $\|(x, x^*)\|_1 = \|x\| + \|x^*\|$ for every $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$.

Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a *set-valued operator* (also known as multifunction) from X to X^*, i.e., for every $x \in X$, $Ax \subseteq X^*$, and let $\operatorname{gra} A = \big\{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid x^* \in Ax\big\}$ be the *graph* of A. The *domain* of A, written as $\operatorname{dom} A$, is $\operatorname{dom} A = \big\{x \in X \mid Ax \neq \varnothing\big\}$ and $\operatorname{ran} A = A(X)$ is the *range* of A. We say A is a *linear relation* if $\operatorname{gra} A$ is a linear subspace. By saying $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is *at most single-valued*, we mean that for every $x \in X$, Ax is either a singleton or empty. In this case, we follow a slight but common abuse of notation and write $A\colon \operatorname{dom} A \to X^*$. Conversely, if $T\colon D \to X^*$, we may identify T with $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, where A is at most single-valued with $\operatorname{dom} A = D$.

Now let $U \times V \subseteq X \times X^*$. We say that A is *monotone* with respect to $U \times V$, if for every $(x, x^*) \in (\operatorname{gra} A) \cap (U \times V)$ and $(y, y^*) \in (\operatorname{gra} A) \cap (U \times V)$, we have

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

Of course, by (classical) monotonicity we mean monotonicity with respect to $X \times X^*$. Furthermore, we say that A is *maximally monotone* with respect to $U \times V$ if A is monotone with respect to $U \times V$ and for every operator $B\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ that is monotone with respect to $U \times V$ and such that $(\operatorname{gra} A) \cap (U \times V) \subseteq (\operatorname{gra} B) \cap (U \times V)$, we necessarily have $(\operatorname{gra} A) \cap (U \times V) = (\operatorname{gra} B) \cap (U \times V)$. Thus, (classical) maximal monotonicity corresponds to maximal monotonicity with respect to $X \times X^*$. This slightly unusual presentation is required to state our main results; moreover, it yields a more concise formulation of monotone operators of type (FP).

Now let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone and $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$. We say (x, x^*) is *monotonically related to* $\operatorname{gra} A$ if

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A.$$

If Z is a real Banach space with continuous dual Z^* and a subset S of Z, we denote $S^\perp$ by $S^\perp = \big\{z^* \in Z^* \mid \langle z^*, s \rangle = 0, \quad \forall s \in S\big\}$. Given a subset D of Z^*, we define $D_\perp$ by $D_\perp = \big\{z \in Z \mid \langle z, d^* \rangle = 0, \quad \forall d^* \in D\big\} = D^\perp \cap Z$.

The operator *adjoint* of A, written as A^*, is defined by

$$\operatorname{gra} A^* = \big\{(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^* \mid (x^*, -x^{**}) \in (\operatorname{gra} A)^\perp\big\}.$$

Note that the adjoint is always a linear relation with $\operatorname{gra} A^* \subseteq X^{**} \times X^* \subseteq X^{**} \times X^{***}$. These inclusions make it possible to consider monotonicity properties of A^*; however, care is required: as a linear relation, $\operatorname{gra} A^* \subseteq X^{**} \times X^*$ while as a potential monotone operator we are led to consider $\operatorname{gra} A^* \subseteq X^{**} \times X^{***}$. Now let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a linear relation. We say that A is *skew*

if $\operatorname{gra} A \subseteq \operatorname{gra}(-A^*)$; equivalently, if $\langle x, x^* \rangle = 0$, $\forall (x, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. Furthermore, A is *symmetric* if $\operatorname{gra} A \subseteq \operatorname{gra} A^*$; equivalently, if $\langle x, y^* \rangle = \langle y, x^* \rangle$, $\forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$.

We now recall three fundamental subclasses of maximally monotone operators.

Definition 1.1 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. The three key types of monotone operators are defined as follows.*

(i) *A is* of dense type or type (D) *(1971, [23], [29] and [39, Theorem 9.5]) if for every $(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*$ with*

$$\inf_{(a,a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A} \langle a - x^{**}, a^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0,$$

there exist a bounded net $(a_\alpha, a_\alpha^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ in $\operatorname{gra} A$ such that $(a_\alpha, a_\alpha^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ weak$\times$strong converges to (x^{**}, x^*).*

(ii) *A is* of type negative infimum (NI) *(1996, [35]) if*

$$\sup_{(a,a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A} \big(\langle a, x^* \rangle + \langle a^*, x^{**} \rangle - \langle a, a^* \rangle \big) \geq \langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall (x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*.$$

(iii) *A is* of type Fitzpatrick-Phelps (FP) *(1992, [22]) if whenever V is an open convex subset of X^* such that $V \cap \operatorname{ran} A \neq \varnothing$, it must follow that A is maximally monotone with respect to $X \times V$.*

Fact 1.2 (See [36, 38, 16].) *The following are maximally monotone of type (D), (NI), and (FP).*

(i) *∂f, where $f : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ is convex, lower semicontinuous, and proper;*

(ii) *$A \colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$, where A is maximally monotone and X is reflexive.*

These and other relationships known amongst these and other monotonicity notions are described in [16, Chapter 9]. As we see in the following result, it is a consequence of recent work that the three classes of Definition 1.1 coincide.

Fact 1.3 (See [4, Corollary 3.2] and [37, 35, 26].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A is of type (D).*

(ii) *A is of type (NI).*

(iii) *A is of type (FP).*

This is a powerful result because it is often easier to establish (ii) or (iii) than (i).

Monotone operators have proven to be a key class of objects in both modern Optimization and Analysis; see, e.g., [13, 14, 15], the books [7, 16, 20, 30, 36, 38, 33, 44, 45, 46] and the references therein.

Let us now precisely state the aforementioned Brezis-Browder Theorem:

Theorem 1.4 (Brezis-Browder in reflexive Banach space [18, 19]) *Suppose that X is reflexive. Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed. Then A is maximally monotone if and only if the adjoint A^* is monotone.*

In this paper, we generalize the Brezis-Browder Theorem to an arbitrary Banach space. (See also [40] and [41] for Simons' recent extensions in the context of symmetrically self-dual Banach (SSDB) spaces as defined in [38, §21] and of Banach SNL spaces.)

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.5 (Brezis-Browder in general Banach space) *Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed. Then A is maximally monotone of type (D) if and only if A^* is monotone.*

This result will also give an affirmative answer to a question posed by Phelps and Simons in [31, Section 9, item 2]:

> *Let $A : \operatorname{dom} A \to X^*$ be linear and maximally monotone. Assume that A^* is monotone. Is A necessarily of type (D)?*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect auxiliary results for future reference and for the reader's convenience. In Section 3, we provide the key technical step leading us to show that when A^* is monotone then A is of type (D). Our central result, the generalized Brezis-Browder Theorem (Theorem 1.5), is then proved in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 with the necessary proviso that the domain be closed, we establish further results such as Theorem 5.5 relating to the skew part of the operator. This was motivated by and extends [2, Theorem 4.1] which studied the case of a bounded linear operator.

Finally, let us mention that we adopt standard convex analysis notation. Given a subset C of X, $\operatorname{int} C$ is the *interior* of C, $\overline{C}$ is the *norm closure* of C. For the set $D \subseteq X^*$, $\overline{D}^{\mathrm{w}^*}$ is the weak* closure of D. If $E \subseteq X^{**}$, $\overline{E}^{\mathrm{w}^*}$ is the weak* closure of E in X^{**} with the topology induced by X^*. The *indicator function* of C, written as ι_C, is defined at $x \in X$ by

$$\iota_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in C; \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

For every $x \in X$, the *normal cone* operator of C at x is defined by $N_C(x) = \big\{x^* \in X^* \mid \sup_{c\in C}\langle c - x, x^*\rangle \leq 0\big\}$, if $x \in C$; and $N_C(x) = \varnothing$, if $x \notin C$.

Let $f\colon X \to]-\infty,+\infty]$. Then $\operatorname{dom} f = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is the *domain* of f, and $f^*\colon X^* \to [-\infty,+\infty] : x^* \mapsto \sup_{x\in X}(\langle x, x^*\rangle - f(x))$ is the *Fenchel conjugate* of f. The *lower semicontinuous hull* of f is denoted by $\overline{f}$. We say f is proper if $\operatorname{dom} f \neq \varnothing$. Let f be proper. The *subdifferential* of f is defined by

$$\partial f\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*\colon x \mapsto \{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall y \in X)\ \langle y - x, x^*\rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)\}.$$

For $\varepsilon \geq 0$, the *ε–subdifferential* of f is defined by $\partial_\varepsilon f\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*\colon x \mapsto \big\{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall y \in X)\ \langle y - x, x^*\rangle + f(x) \leq f(y) + \varepsilon\big\}$. Note that $\partial f = \partial_0 f$.

Let $F : X \times X^* \to]-\infty,+\infty]$. We say F is a *representative* of the monotone operator $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ if F is proper, lower semicontinuous and convex with $F \geq \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $X \times X^*$ and

$$\operatorname{gra} A = \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid F(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^*\rangle\}.$$

Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$ and $F\colon X \times X^* \to]-\infty,+\infty]$. Then $F_{(z,z^*)} : X \times X^* \to]-\infty,+\infty]$ [27, 38] is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(z,z^*)}(x, x^*) &= F(z + x, z^* + x^*) - \big(\langle x, z^*\rangle + \langle z, x^*\rangle + \langle z, z^*\rangle\big) \\ &= F(z + x, z^* + x^*) - \langle z + x, z^* + x^*\rangle + \langle x, x^*\rangle, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let now Y be another real Banach space. We set $P_X : X\times Y \to X\colon (x, y) \mapsto x$. Let $F_1, F_2\colon X\times Y \to]-\infty,+\infty]$. Then the *partial inf-convolution* $F_1\square_2 F_2$ is the function defined on $X \times Y$ by

$$F_1\square_2 F_2\colon (x, y) \mapsto \inf_{v\in Y} \left[F_1(x, y - v) + F_2(x, v)\right].$$

2 Prerequisite results

In this section, we gather some facts and auxiliary results used in the sequel.

Fact 2.1 (See [28, Proposition 2.6.6(c)] or [34, Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 3.12].) *Let C be a subspace of X, and D be a subspace of X^*. Then*

$$(C^\perp)_\perp = \overline{C} \quad \textit{and} \quad (D_\perp)^\perp = \overline{D}^{\mathrm{w}^*}.$$

Fact 2.2 (Rockafellar) (See [32, Theorem 3)], [38, Corollary 10.3 and Theorem 18.1] or [44, Theorem 2.8.7(iii)].) *Let $f, g : X \to]-\infty,+\infty]$ be proper convex functions. Assume that there exists a point $x_0 \in \operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{dom} g$ such that g is continuous at x_0. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)^*(x^*) &= \min_{y^*\in X^*} \left[f^*(y^*) + g^*(x^* - y^*)\right], \quad \forall x^* \in X^* \\ \partial(f + g) &= \partial f + \partial g. \end{aligned}$$

Fact 2.3 (Borwein) (See [11, Theorem 1] or [44, Theorem 3.1.1].) *Let $f : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ (where $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$). Assume that $x_0 \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $x_0^* \in \partial_\varepsilon f(x_0)$. There exist $x_\varepsilon \in X, x_\varepsilon^* \in X^*$ such that*

$$\|x_\varepsilon - x_0\| + \beta\,|\langle x_\varepsilon - x_0, x_0^*\rangle| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad x_\varepsilon^* \in \partial f(x_\varepsilon),$$
$$\|x_\varepsilon^* - x_0^*\| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}(1 + \beta\|x_0^*\|), \quad |\langle x_\varepsilon - x_0, x_\varepsilon^*\rangle| \leq \varepsilon + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\beta}.$$

Fact 2.4 (Attouch-Brezis) (See [1, Theorem 1.1] or [38, Remark 15.2].) *Let $f, g : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper lower semicontinuous and convex. Assume that $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} f - \operatorname{dom} g]$ is a closed subspace of X. Then*

$$(f+g)^*(z^*) = \min_{y^* \in X^*} \left[f^*(y^*) + g^*(z^* - y^*)\right], \quad \forall z^* \in X^*.$$

Fact 2.5 (Simons and Zălinescu) (See [42, Theorem 4.2] or [38, Theorem 16.4(a)].) *Let Y be a real Banach space and $F_1, F_2 \colon X \times Y \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex. Assume that for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,*

$$(F_1 \square_2 F_2)(x, y) > -\infty$$

and that $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[P_X \operatorname{dom} F_1 - P_X \operatorname{dom} F_2]$ is a closed subspace of X. Then for every $(x^*, y^*) \in X^* \times Y^*$,

$$(F_1 \square_2 F_2)^*(x^*, y^*) = \min_{u^* \in X^*} \left[F_1^*(x^* - u^*, y^*) + F_2^*(u^*, y^*)\right].$$

The following result was first established in [12, Theorem 7.4]. We next provide a new proof.

Fact 2.6 (Borwein) *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be linear relations such that $\operatorname{gra} A$ and $\operatorname{gra} B$ are closed. Assume that $\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B$ is closed. Then*

$$(A + B)^* = A^* + B^*.$$

Proof. We have

$$\iota_{\operatorname{gra}(A+B)} = \iota_{\operatorname{gra} A} \square_2 \iota_{\operatorname{gra} B}. \tag{4}$$

Let $(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*$. Since $\operatorname{gra} A$ and $\operatorname{gra} B$ are closed convex, $\iota_{\operatorname{gra} A}$ and $\iota_{\operatorname{gra} B}$ are proper lower semicontinuous and convex. Then by Fact 2.5 and (4), there exists $y^* \in X^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_{\operatorname{gra}(A+B)^*}(x^{**}, x^*) &= \iota_{\left(\operatorname{gra}(A+B)\right)^\perp}(-x^*, x^{**}) \\
&= \iota^*_{\operatorname{gra}(A+B)}(-x^*, x^{**}) \quad (\text{since } \operatorname{gra}(A+B) \text{ is a subspace}) \\
&= \iota^*_{\operatorname{gra} A}(y^*, x^{**}) + \iota^*_{\operatorname{gra} B}(-x^* - y^*, x^{**}) \\
&= \iota_{(\operatorname{gra} A)^\perp}(y^*, x^{**}) + \iota_{(\operatorname{gra} B)^\perp}(-x^* - y^*, x^{**}) \\
&= \iota_{\operatorname{gra} A^*}(x^{**}, -y^*) + \iota_{\operatorname{gra} B^*}(x^{**}, x^* + y^*) \\
&= \iota_{\operatorname{gra}(A^* + B^*)}(x^{**}, x^*). \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\operatorname{gra}(A+B)^* = \operatorname{gra}(A^* + B^*)$ and hence $(A+B)^* = A^* + B^*$. ■

Fact 2.7 (Simons) (See [38, Lemma 19.7 and Section 22].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone operator such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is convex with* $\operatorname{gra} A \neq \varnothing$. *Then the function*

$$g\colon X \times X^* \to \left]-\infty,+\infty\right] : (x,x^*) \mapsto \langle x, x^*\rangle + \iota_{\operatorname{gra} A}(x,x^*) \tag{6}$$

is proper and convex.

Fact 2.8 (Marques Alves and Svaiter) (See [26, Theorem 4.4].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone, and let $F : X \to \left]-\infty,+\infty\right]$ be a representative of A. Then A is of type (D) if and only if for every $(x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*$,*

$$\inf_{(x,x^*)\in X\times X^*} \Big[F_{(x_0,x_0^*)}(x,x^*) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2\Big] = 0.$$

We also recall the following somewhat more precise version of Theorem 1.4.

Fact 2.9 (Brezis and Browder) (See [19, Theorem 2], or [17, 18, 40, 43].) *Suppose that X is reflexive. Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A is maximally monotone.*

(ii) *A^* is maximally monotone.*

(iii) *A^* is monotone.*

Now let us cite some basic properties of linear relations.

The following result appeared in Cross' book [21]. We give new proofs of items (iv)–(vi). The proof of item (vi) below was adapted from [10, Remark 2.2].

Fact 2.10 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a linear relation. Then the following hold.*

(i) $Ax = x^* + A0, \quad \forall x^* \in Ax.$

(ii) $A(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha Ax + \beta Ay, \forall (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \smallsetminus \{(0,0)\},\ \forall x, y \in \operatorname{dom} A.$

(iii) $\langle A^*x, y\rangle = \langle x, Ay\rangle$ *is a singleton,* $\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} A^*, \forall y \in \operatorname{dom} A.$

(iv) $(\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A^*0$ *is (weak*) closed and* $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A} = (A^*0)_\perp$.

(v) *If* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed, then* $(\operatorname{dom} A^*)_\perp = A0$ *and* $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A^*}^{\mathrm{w}^*} = (A0)^\perp$.

(vi) *If* $\operatorname{dom} A$ *is closed, then* $\operatorname{dom} A^* = (\bar{A}0)^\perp$ *and thus* $\operatorname{dom} A^*$ *is (weak*) closed, where $\bar{A}$ is the linear relation whose graph is the closure of the graph of A.*

Proof. (i): See [21, Proposition I.2.8(a)]. (ii): See [21, Corollary I.2.5]. (iii): See [21, Proposition III.1.2].

(iv): We have

$$x^* \in A^*0 \Leftrightarrow (x^*, 0) \in (\operatorname{gra} A)^\perp \Leftrightarrow x^* \in (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp.$$

Hence $(\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A^*0$ and thus A^*0 is weak* closed. By Fact 2.1, $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A} = (A^*0)_\perp$.

(v): Using Fact 2.1,

$$x^* \in A0 \Leftrightarrow (0, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A = \Big[(\operatorname{gra} A)^\perp\Big]_\perp = \big[\operatorname{gra} -(A^*)^{-1}\big]_\perp \Leftrightarrow x^* \in (\operatorname{dom} A^*)_\perp.$$

Hence $(\operatorname{dom} A^*)_\perp = A0$ and thus, by Fact 2.1, $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A^*}^{\mathrm{w}^*} = (A0)^\perp$.

(vi): Let $\bar{A}$ be the linear relation whose graph is the closure of the graph of A. Then $\operatorname{dom} A = \operatorname{dom} \bar{A}$ and $A^* = \bar{A}^*$. Then by Fact 2.4,

$$\iota_{X^*\times(\bar{A}0)^\perp} = \iota^*_{\{0\}\times\bar{A}0} = \big(\iota_{\operatorname{gra}\bar{A}} + \iota_{\{0\}\times X^*}\big)^* = \iota_{\operatorname{gra}(-\bar{A}^*)^{-1}} \,\square\, \iota_{X^*\times\{0\}} = \iota_{X^*\times\operatorname{dom}\bar{A}^*}.$$

It is clear that $\operatorname{dom} A^* = \operatorname{dom} \bar{A}^* = (\bar{A}0)^\perp$ is weak* closed, hence closed. ■

3 A key result

The proof of Proposition 3.1 was in part inspired by that of [46, Theorem 32.L] and by that of [25, Theorem 2.1].

Proposition 3.1 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed and A^* is monotone. Define*

$$F : X \times X^* \to \,]-\infty, +\infty] : (x, x^*) \mapsto \iota_{\operatorname{gra} A}(x, x^*) + \langle x, x^*\rangle.$$

Then F is a representative of A, and

$$\inf_{(x,x^*)\in X\times X^*} \Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(x, x^*) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2\Big] = 0, \quad \forall (v_0, v_0^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

Proof. Since A is monotone and $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed, Fact 2.7 implies that F is proper lower semicontinuous and convex, and a representative of A. Let $(v_0, v_0^*) \in X \times X^*$. Recalling (3), note that

$$F_{(v_0,v_0^*)} \colon (x, x^*) \mapsto \iota_{\operatorname{gra} A}(v_0 + x, v_0^* + x^*) + \langle x, x^*\rangle \tag{7}$$

is proper lower semicontinuous and convex. By Fact 2.2, there exists $(y^{**}, y^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} K &:= \inf_{(x,x^*)\in X\times X^*} \left[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(x,x^*) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2\right] \\ &= -\big(F_{(v_0,v_0^*)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2\big)^*(0,0) \\ &= -F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y^*, y^{**}) - \tfrac{1}{2}\|y^{**}\|^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since $(x,x^*) \mapsto F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(x,x^*) + \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2$ is coercive, there exist $M > 0$ and a sequence $(a_n, a_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $X \times X^*$ such that

$$\|a_n\| + \|a_n^*\| \le M \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(a_n,a_n^*) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n^*\|^2 \\ &< K + \tfrac{1}{n^2} = -F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y^*,y^{**}) - \tfrac{1}{2}\|y^{**}\|^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{n^2} \quad \text{(by (8))} \\ &\Rightarrow F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(a_n,a_n^*) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n^*\|^2 + F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y^*,y^{**}) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|y^{**}\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 < \tfrac{1}{n^2} \qquad (10) \\ &\Rightarrow F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(a_n,a_n^*) + F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y^*,y^{**}) + \langle a_n, -y^*\rangle + \langle a_n^*, -y^{**}\rangle < \tfrac{1}{n^2} \qquad (11) \\ &\Rightarrow (y^*,y^{**}) \in \partial_{\frac{1}{n^2}} F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(a_n,a_n^*) \quad \text{(by [44, Theorem 2.4.2(ii)])}. \qquad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Set $\beta = \frac{1}{\max\{\|y^*\|,\|y^{**}\|\}+1}$. Then by Fact 2.3, there exist sequences $(\widetilde{a_n}, \widetilde{a_n^*})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $X \times X^*$ and $(y_n^*, y_n^{**})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $X^* \times X^{**}$ such that

$$\|a_n - \widetilde{a_n}\| + \|a_n^* - \widetilde{a_n^*}\| + \beta\left|\langle \widetilde{a_n} - a_n, y^*\rangle + \langle \widetilde{a_n^*} - a_n^*, y^{**}\rangle\right| \le \tfrac{1}{n} \tag{13}$$

$$\max\{\|y_n^* - y^*\|, \|y_n^{**} - y^{**}\|\} \le \tfrac{2}{n} \tag{14}$$

$$\left|\langle \widetilde{a_n} - a_n, y_n^*\rangle + \langle \widetilde{a_n^*} - a_n^*, y_n^{**}\rangle\right| \le \tfrac{1}{n^2} + \tfrac{1}{n\beta} \tag{15}$$

$$(y_n^*, y_n^{**}) \in \partial F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n}, \widetilde{a_n^*}), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{16}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \widetilde{a_n}, y_n^*\rangle + \langle \widetilde{a_n^*}, y_n^{**}\rangle - \langle a_n, y^*\rangle - \langle a_n^*, y^{**}\rangle \\ &= \langle \widetilde{a_n} - a_n, y_n^*\rangle + \langle a_n, y_n^* - y^*\rangle + \langle \widetilde{a_n^*} - a_n^*, y_n^{**}\rangle + \langle a_n^*, y_n^{**} - y^{**}\rangle \\ &\le \left|\langle \widetilde{a_n} - a_n, y_n^*\rangle + \langle \widetilde{a_n^*} - a_n^*, y_n^{**}\rangle\right| + |\langle a_n, y_n^* - y^*\rangle| + |\langle a_n^*, y_n^{**} - y^{**}\rangle| \\ &\le \tfrac{1}{n^2} + \tfrac{1}{n\beta} + \|a_n\| \cdot \|y_n^* - y^*\| + \|a_n^*\| \cdot \|y_n^{**} - y^{**}\| \quad \text{(by (15))} \\ &\le \tfrac{1}{n^2} + \tfrac{1}{n\beta} + (\|a_n\| + \|a_n^*\|) \cdot \max\{\|y_n^* - y^*\|, \|y_n^{**} - y^{**}\|\} \\ &\le \tfrac{1}{n^2} + \tfrac{1}{n\beta} + \tfrac{2}{n}M \quad \text{(by (9) and (14))}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By (13), we have

$$\left|\|a_n\| - \|\widetilde{a_n}\|\right| + \left|\|a_n^*\| - \|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|\right| \le \tfrac{1}{n}. \tag{18}$$

Thus by (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\|a_n\|^2-\|\widetilde{a_n}\|^2\big|+\Big|\|a_n^*\|^2-\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|^2\Big|\\
&=\big|\|a_n\|-\|\widetilde{a_n}\|\big|\big(\|a_n\|+\|\widetilde{a_n}\|\big)+\Big|\|a_n^*\|-\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|\Big|\Big(\|a_n^*\|+\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|\Big)\\
&\le \tfrac{1}{n}\left(2\|a_n\|+\tfrac{1}{n}\right)+\tfrac{1}{n}\left(2\|a_n^*\|+\tfrac{1}{n}\right)\quad\text{(by (18))}\\
&\le \tfrac{1}{n}(2M+\tfrac{2}{n})=\tfrac{2}{n}M+\tfrac{2}{n^2},\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (14), for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\big|\|y_n^*\|^2-\|y^*\|^2\big|\le\tfrac{4}{n}\|y^*\|+\tfrac{4}{n^2}\le\tfrac{4}{n\beta}+\tfrac{4}{n^2},\quad\big|\|y_n^{**}\|^2-\|y^{**}\|^2\big|\le\tfrac{4}{n}\|y^{**}\|+\tfrac{4}{n^2}\le\tfrac{4}{n\beta}+\tfrac{4}{n^2}. \qquad (20)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n},\widetilde{a_n^*})+F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y_n^*,y_n^{**})+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y_n^*\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y_n^{**}\|^2\\
&=\Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n},\widetilde{a_n^*})+F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y_n^*,y_n^{**})+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y_n^*\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y_n^{**}\|^2\Big]\\
&\quad-\Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(a_n,a_n^*)+\tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n^*\|^2+F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y^*,y^{**})+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y^{**}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2\Big]\\
&\quad+\Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(a_n,a_n^*)+\tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|a_n^*\|^2+F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y^*,y^{**})+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y^{**}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2\Big]\\
&<\Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n},\widetilde{a_n^*})+F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y_n^*,y_n^{**})-F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(a_n,a_n^*)-F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y^*,y^{**})\Big]\\
&\quad+\tfrac{1}{2}\Big[\|\widetilde{a_n}\|^2+\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|^2-\|a_n\|^2-\|a_n^*\|^2\Big]\\
&\quad+\tfrac{1}{2}\left[\|y_n^*\|^2+\|y_n^{**}\|^2-\|y^{**}\|^2-\|y^*\|^2\right]+\tfrac{1}{n^2}\quad\text{(by (10))}\\
&\le\Big[\langle\widetilde{a_n},y_n^*\rangle+\langle\widetilde{a_n^*},y_n^{**}\rangle-\langle a_n,y^*\rangle-\langle a_n^*,y^{**}\rangle\Big]\quad\text{(by (16))}\\
&\quad+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\big|\|\widetilde{a_n}\|^2-\|a_n\|^2\big|+\Big|\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|^2-\|a_n^*\|^2\Big|\right)\\
&\quad+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\big|\|y_n^*\|^2-\|y^*\|^2\big|+\big|\|y_n^{**}\|^2-\|y^{**}\|^2\big|\right)+\tfrac{1}{n^2}\\
&\le\tfrac{1}{n^2}+\tfrac{1}{n\beta}+\tfrac{2}{n}M+\tfrac{1}{n}M+\tfrac{1}{n^2}+\tfrac{4}{n\beta}+\tfrac{4}{n^2}+\tfrac{1}{n^2}\quad\text{(by (17), (19) and (20))}\\
&=\tfrac{7}{n^2}+\tfrac{5}{n\beta}+\tfrac{3}{n}M,\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

By (16), (7), and [44, Theorem 3.2.4(vi)&(ii)], there exists a sequence $(z_n^*,z_n^{**})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $(\operatorname{gra}A)^\perp$ such that

$$(y_n^*,y_n^{**})=(\widetilde{a_n^*},\widetilde{a_n})+(z_n^*,z_n^{**}),\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (22)$$

Since A^* is monotone and $(z_n^{**},z_n^*)\in\operatorname{gra}(-A^*)$, it follows from (22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle y_n^*,y_n^{**}\rangle-\langle y_n^*,\widetilde{a_n}\rangle-\langle y_n^{**},\widetilde{a_n^*}\rangle+\langle\widetilde{a_n^*},\widetilde{a_n}\rangle=\langle y_n^*-\widetilde{a_n^*},y_n^{**}-\widetilde{a_n}\rangle=\langle z_n^*,z_n^{**}\rangle\le 0\\
&\Rightarrow\langle y_n^*,y_n^{**}\rangle\le\langle y_n^*,\widetilde{a_n}\rangle+\langle y_n^{**},\widetilde{a_n^*}\rangle-\langle\widetilde{a_n^*},\widetilde{a_n}\rangle,\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (7) and (16), we have $\langle\widetilde{a_n^*},\widetilde{a_n}\rangle=F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n},\widetilde{a_n^*})$ and

$$\langle y_n^*,y_n^{**}\rangle\le\langle y_n^*,\widetilde{a_n}\rangle+\langle y_n^{**},\widetilde{a_n^*}\rangle-F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n},\widetilde{a_n^*})=F^*_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(y_n^*,y_n^{**}),\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (23)$$

By (21) and (23), we have

$$F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n},\widetilde{a_n^*})+\langle y_n^*,y_n^{**}\rangle+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y_n^*\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|y_n^{**}\|^2<\tfrac{7}{n^2}+\tfrac{5}{n\beta}+\tfrac{3}{n}M$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(\widetilde{a_n},\widetilde{a_n^*})+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n}\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{a_n^*}\|^2<\tfrac{7}{n^2}+\tfrac{5}{n\beta}+\tfrac{3}{n}M,\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{24}$$

Thus by (24),

$$\inf_{(x,x^*)\in X\times X^*}\Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(x,x^*)+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2\Big]\leq 0. \tag{25}$$

By (7),

$$\inf_{(x,x^*)\in X\times X^*}\Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(x,x^*)+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2\Big]\geq 0. \tag{26}$$

Combining (25) with (26), we obtain

$$\inf_{(x,x^*)\in X\times X^*}\Big[F_{(v_0,v_0^*)}(x,x^*)+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2\Big]=0. \tag{27}$$

■

Proposition 3.2 *Let $A:X\rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra}A$ *is closed and A^* is monotone. Then A is maximally monotone of type (D).*

Proof. By Fact 2.8 and Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show that A is maximally monotone. Let $(z,z^*)\in X\times X^*$. Assume that

$$(z,z^*)\text{ is monotonically related to }\operatorname{gra}A. \tag{28}$$

Define

$$F:X\times X^*\to\,]-\infty,+\infty]:(x,x^*)\mapsto\iota_{\operatorname{gra}A}(x,x^*)+\langle x,x^*\rangle.$$

We have

$$F_{(z,z^*)}\colon(x,x^*)\mapsto\iota_{\operatorname{gra}A}(z+x,z^*+x^*)+\langle x,x^*\rangle. \tag{29}$$

Proposition 3.1 implies that there exists a sequence $(x_n,x_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\operatorname{dom}F_{(z,z^*)}$ such that

$$F_{(z,z^*)}(x_n,x_n^*)+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x_n\|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|x_n^*\|^2\to 0. \tag{30}$$

Set $(a_n,a_n^*):=(z+x_n,z^*+x_n^*),\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then by (29), we have

$$F_{(z,z^*)}(x_n,x_n^*)=\iota_{\operatorname{gra}A}(z+x_n,z^*+x_n^*)+\langle x_n,x_n^*\rangle \tag{31}$$

$$=\iota_{\operatorname{gra}A}(a_n,a_n^*)+\langle a_n-z,a_n^*-z^*\rangle. \tag{32}$$

By (30) and (32),

$$(a_n, a_n^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{33}$$

Then by (33) and (28), we have

$$\langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle = \langle a_n - z, a_n^* - z^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{34}$$

Combining (32) and (34),

$$F_{(z,z^*)}(x_n, x_n^*) \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{35}$$

In view of (35) and (30),

$$\|x_n\|^2 + \|x_n^*\|^2 \to 0. \tag{36}$$

Thus $(x_n, x_n^*) \to (0, 0)$ and hence $(a_n, a_n^*) \to (z, z^*)$. Finally, by (33) and since $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed, we see $(z, z^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. Therefore, A is maximally monotone. ■

Remark 3.3 Proposition 3.2 provides an affirmative answer to a problem posed by Phelps and Simons in [31, Section 9, item 2] on the converse of [31, Theorem 6.7(c)⇒(f)]. In [6, Proposition 3.1], we present an alternative proof for Proposition 3.2.

Example 3.4 Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed. We note that we cannot guarantee the maximal monotonicity of A even if A is at most single-valued and densely defined. To see this, suppose that $X = \ell^2$, and that $A : \ell^2 \rightrightarrows \ell^2$ is given by

$$Ax := \frac{\left(\sum_{i<n} x_i - \sum_{i>n} x_i\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}}{2} = \left(\sum_{i<n} x_i + \tfrac{1}{2}x_n\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \quad \forall x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \operatorname{dom} A, \tag{37}$$

where $\operatorname{dom} A := \left\{x := (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \mid \sum_{i\geq 1} x_i = 0, \left(\sum_{i\leq n} x_i\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2\right\}$. Then A is an at most single-valued linear relation. Now [9, Propositions 3.6] states that

$$A^*x = \left(\tfrac{1}{2}x_n + \sum_{i>n} x_i\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \tag{38}$$

where

$$x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \operatorname{dom} A^* = \left\{x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \;\middle|\; \left(\sum_{i>n} x_i\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2\right\}.$$

Moreover, [9, Propositions 3.2, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.8], [31, Theorem 2.5] and Fact 2.9 show that:

(i) A is maximally monotone and skew;

(ii) $\operatorname{dom} A$ is dense and $\operatorname{dom} A \subsetneqq \operatorname{dom} A^*$;

(iii) A^* is maximally monotone, but not skew;

(iv) $-A$ is not maximally monotone.

Hence, $-A$ is monotone with dense domain and $\operatorname{gra}(-A)$ is closed, but nonetheless $-A$ is not maximally monotone. ■

4 The general Brezis-Browder theorem

We may now pack everything together to establish our central result. For the reader's convenience we repeat Theorem 1.5:

Theorem 4.1 (Brezis-Browder in general Banach space) *Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed. Then A is maximally monotone of type (D) if and only if A^* is monotone.*

Proof. "⇒": By Fact 1.3, A is of type (NI). Suppose to the contrary that there exists $(a_0^{**}, a_0^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$ such that $\langle a_0^{**}, a_0^*\rangle < 0$. Then we have

$$\sup_{(a,a^*)\in\operatorname{gra} A} \big(\langle a, -a_0^*\rangle + \langle a_0^{**}, a^*\rangle - \langle a, a^*\rangle\big) = \sup_{(a,a^*)\in\operatorname{gra} A} \{-\langle a, a^*\rangle\} = 0 < \langle -a_0^{**}, a_0^*\rangle,$$

which contradicts that A is type of (NI). Hence A^* is monotone.

"⇐": Apply Proposition 3.2 directly. ■

Remark 4.2 The proof of the necessary part in Theorem 4.1 follows closely that of [19, Theorem 2].

The original Brezis and Browder result follows.

Corollary 4.3 (Brezis and Browder) *Suppose that X is reflexive. Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A is maximally monotone.*

(ii) *A^* is maximally monotone.*

(iii) *A^* is monotone.*

Proof. "(i)⇔(iii)": Apply Theorem 4.1 and Fact 1.2 directly.

"(ii)⇒(iii)": Clear.

"(iii)⇒(ii)": Since $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed, $(A^*)^* = A$. Apply Theorem 4.1 to A^*. ■

In the case of a skew operator we can add maximality of the adjoint and so we prefigure results of the next section:

Corollary 4.4 (The skew case) *Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a skew operator such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A is maximally monotone of type (D).*

(ii) *A^* is monotone.*

(iii) *A^* is maximally monotone with respect to $X^{**} \times X^*$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, it only remains to show

"(ii)⇒(iii)": Let $(z^{**}, z^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*$ be monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A^*$. Since $\operatorname{gra}(-A) \subseteq \operatorname{gra} A^*$, (z^{**}, z^*) is monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra}(-A)$. Thus $(z^*, z^{**}) \in [\operatorname{gra}(-A)]^\perp$ since $\operatorname{gra} A$ is linear. Hence $(z^{**}, z^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$. Hence A^* is maximally monotone. ■

Remark 4.5 We cannot say A^* is maximally monotone with respect to $X^{**} \times X^{***}$ in Corollary 4.4(iii): indeed, let A be defined by

$$\operatorname{gra} A = \{0\} \times X^*.$$

Then $\operatorname{gra} A^* = \{0\} \times X^*$. If X is not reflexive, then $X^* \subsetneqq X^{***}$ and so $\operatorname{gra} A^*$ is a proper subset of $\{0\} \times X^{***}$. Hence A^* is not maximally monotone with respect to $X^{**} \times X^{***}$ although A is maximally monotone of type (D) (since $A = N_{\{0\}}$ by Fact 1.2).

We conclude with an application of Theorem 4.1 to an operator studied previously by Phelps and Simons [31].

Example 4.6 Suppose that $X = L^1[0,1]$ so that $X^* = L^\infty[0,1]$, let

$$D = \big\{x \in X \mid x \text{ is absolutely continuous}, x(0) = 0, x' \in X^*\big\},$$

and set

$$A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*\colon x \mapsto \begin{cases} \{x'\}, & \text{if } x \in D;\\ \varnothing, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

By [31, Example 4.3], A is an at most single-valued maximally monotone linear relation with proper dense domain, and A is neither symmetric nor skew. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{dom} A^* = \{z \in X^{**} \mid z \text{ is absolutely continuous}, z(1) = 0, z' \in X^*\} \subseteq X$$

$A^*z = -z', \forall z \in \operatorname{dom} A^*$, and A^* is monotone. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 implies that A is of type (D).

In the next section, we turn to the question of how the skew part of the adjoint behaves.

5 Decomposition of monotone linear relations

In this section, our main result states that A is maximally monotone of type (D) if and only if its skew part $A_{\circ}$ is maximally monotone of type (D) and $A^*0 = A0$. When $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, we also obtained a refined version of the Brezis-Browder Theorem.

Let us first gather some basic properties about monotone linear relations, and conditions for them to be maximally monotone.

The next three propositions were proven in reflexive spaces in [8, Proposition 2.2]. We adjust the proofs to a general Banach space setting.

Proposition 5.1 (Monotone linear relations) *Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a linear relation. Then the following hold.*

(i) *Suppose A is monotone. Then $\operatorname{dom} A \subseteq (A0)_\perp$ and $A0 \subseteq (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp$; consequently, if $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed, then $\operatorname{dom} A \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom} A^*}^{\mathrm{w}^*} \cap X$ and $A0 \subseteq A^*0$.*

(ii) *$(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} A)(\forall z \in (A0)_\perp)$ $\langle z, Ax\rangle$ is single-valued.*

(iii) *$(\forall z \in (A0)_\perp)$ $\operatorname{dom} A \to \mathbb{R}\colon y \mapsto \langle z, Ay\rangle$ is linear.*

(iv) *If A is monotone, then $(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} A)$ $\langle x, Ax\rangle$ is single-valued.*

(v) *A is monotone $\Leftrightarrow$ $(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} A)$ $\inf\langle x, Ax\rangle \geq 0$.*

(vi) *If $(x, x^*) \in (\operatorname{dom} A) \times X^*$ is monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A$ and $x_0^* \in Ax$, then $x^* - x_0^* \in (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp$.*

Proof. (i): Pick $x \in \operatorname{dom} A$. Then there exists $x^* \in X^*$ such that $(x, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. By monotonicity of A and since $\{0\} \times A0 \subseteq \operatorname{gra} A$, we have $\langle x, x^*\rangle \geq \sup\langle x, A0\rangle$. Since $A0$ is a linear subspace, we obtain $x \perp A0$. This implies $\operatorname{dom} A \subseteq (A0)_\perp$ and $A0 \subseteq (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp$. If $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed, then Fact 2.10(v)&(iv) yields $\operatorname{dom} A \subseteq (A0)_\perp \subseteq (A0)^\perp = \overline{\operatorname{dom} A^*}^{\mathrm{w}^*}$ and $A0 \subseteq A^*0$.

(ii): Take $x \in \operatorname{dom} A$, $x^* \in Ax$, and $z \in (A0)_\perp$. By Fact 2.10(i), $\langle z, Ax\rangle = \langle z, x^* + A0\rangle = \langle z, x^*\rangle$.

(iii): Take $z \in (A0)_\perp$. By (ii), $(\forall y \in \operatorname{dom} A)$ $\langle z, Ay\rangle$ is single-valued. Now let x, y be in $\operatorname{dom} A$, and let α, β be in $\mathbb{R}$. If $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$, then $\langle z, A(\alpha x + \beta y)\rangle = \langle z, A0\rangle = 0 = \alpha\langle z, Ax\rangle + \beta\langle z, Ay\rangle$. And if $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$, then Fact 2.10(ii) yields $\langle z, A(\alpha x + \beta y)\rangle = \langle z, \alpha Ax + \beta Ay\rangle = \alpha\langle z, Ax\rangle + \beta\langle z, Ay\rangle$. This verifies linearity.

(iv): Apply (i)&(ii).

(v): "$\Rightarrow$": This follows from the fact that $(0, 0) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. "$\Leftarrow$": If x and y belong to $\operatorname{dom} A$, then Fact 2.10(ii) yields $\langle x - y, Ax - Ay\rangle = \langle x - y, A(x - y)\rangle \geq 0$.

(vi): Let $(x, x^*) \in \operatorname{dom} A \times X^*$ be monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A$, and take $x_0^* \in Ax$. For every $(v, v^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$, we have $x_0^* + v^* \in A(x+v)$ (by Fact 2.10(ii)); hence, $\langle x-(x+v), x^*-(x_0^*+v^*)\rangle \geq 0$ and thus $\langle v, v^*\rangle \geq \langle v, x^* - x_0^*\rangle$. Now take $\lambda > 0$ and replace (v, v^*) in the last inequality by $(\lambda v, \lambda v^*)$. Then divide by λ and let $\lambda \to 0^+$ to see that $0 \geq \sup\langle \operatorname{dom} A,\ x^* - x_0^*\rangle$. Since $\operatorname{dom} A$ is linear, it follows that $x^* - x_0^* \in (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp$. ■

We define the *symmetric part* and the *skew part* of A via

$$A_+ := \tfrac{1}{2}A + \tfrac{1}{2}A^* \quad \text{and} \quad A_\circ := \tfrac{1}{2}A - \tfrac{1}{2}A^*, \tag{39}$$

respectively. It is easy to check that A_+ is symmetric and that $A_\circ$ is skew.

Proposition 5.2 (Maximally monotone linear relations) *Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If A is maximally monotone, then $(\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A0$ and hence $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A} = (A0)_\perp$.*

(ii) *If $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, then: A is maximally monotone $\Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A0$.*

(iii) *If A is maximally monotone, then $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A^*}^{\mathrm{w}^*} \cap X = \overline{\operatorname{dom} A} = (A0)_\perp$, and $A0 = A^*0 = A_+0 = A_\circ 0 = (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp$ is (weak*) closed.*

(iv) *If A is maximally monotone and $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, then $\operatorname{dom} A^* \cap X = \operatorname{dom} A$.*

(v) *If A is maximally monotone and $\operatorname{dom} A \subseteq \operatorname{dom} A^*$, then $A = A_+ + A_\circ$, $A_+ = A - A_\circ$, and $A_\circ = A - A_+$.*

(vi) *If A is maximally monotone and $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, then both A_+ and $A_\circ$ are maximally monotone.*

(vii) *If A is maximally monotone and $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, then $A^* = (A_+)^* + (A_\circ)^*$.*

Proof. (i): Since $A + N_{\operatorname{dom} A} = A + (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp$ is a monotone extension of A and A is maximally monotone, we must have $A + (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A$. Then $A0 + (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A0$. As $0 \in A0$, $(\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp \subseteq A0$. Combining with Proposition 5.1(i), we have $(\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A0$. By Fact 2.1, $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A} = (A0)_\perp$.

(ii): "⇒": Clear from (i). "⇐": The assumptions and Fact 2.1 imply that $\operatorname{dom} A = \overline{\operatorname{dom} A} = \big[(\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp\big]_\perp = (A0)_\perp$. Let (x, x^*) be monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A$. We have $\inf\langle x-0, x^*-A0\rangle \geq 0$. Then we have $x \in (A0)_\perp$ and hence $x \in \operatorname{dom} A$. Then by Proposition 5.1(vi) and Fact 2.10(i), $x^* \in Ax$. Hence A is maximally monotone.

(iii): By (i) and Fact 2.10(iv), $A0 = (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A^*0$ is weak* closed and thus $A_+0 = A_\circ 0 = A0 = (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp$. Then by Fact 2.10(v) and (i), $\overline{\operatorname{dom} A^*}^{\mathrm{w}^*} \cap X = (A0)_\perp = \overline{\operatorname{dom} A}$.

(iv): Combine (iii) with Fact 2.10(vi).

(v): We show only the proof of $A = A_+ + A_\circ$ as the other two proofs are analogous. Clearly, $\operatorname{dom} A_+ = \operatorname{dom} A_\circ = \operatorname{dom} A \cap \operatorname{dom} A^* = \operatorname{dom} A$. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom} A$, and $x^* \in Ax$ and $y^* \in A^*x$.

We write $x^* = \frac{x^*+y^*}{2} + \frac{x^*-y^*}{2} \in (A_+ + A_\circ)x$. Then, by (iii) and Fact 2.10(i), $Ax = x^* + A0 = x^* + (A_+ + A_\circ)0 = (A_+ + A_\circ)x$. Therefore, $A = A_+ + A_\circ$.

(vi): By (iv),

$$\operatorname{dom} A_+ = \operatorname{dom} A_\circ = \operatorname{dom} A \text{ is closed.} \tag{40}$$

Hence, by (iii),

$$A_\circ 0 = A_+ 0 = A0 = (\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = (\operatorname{dom} A_+)^\perp = (\operatorname{dom} A_\circ)^\perp. \tag{41}$$

Since A is monotone, so are A_+ and $A_\circ$. Combining (40), (41), and (ii), we deduce that A_+ and $A_\circ$ are maximally monotone.

(vii): By (iv)&(v),

$$A = A_+ + A_\circ. \tag{42}$$

Then by (vi), (iv), and Fact 2.6, $A^* = (A_+)^* + (A_\circ)^*$. ■

For a monotone linear relation $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ it will be convenient to define — as in, e.g., [3] — a generalized quadratic form

$$(\forall x \in X) \quad q_A(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\langle x, Ax\rangle, & \text{if } x \in \operatorname{dom} A; \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We write $\overline{q_A}$ for the lower semicontinuous hull of q_A.

Proposition 5.3 *Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation, let x and y be in $\operatorname{dom} A$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then q_A is single-valued, $q_A \geq 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda q_A(x) + (1-\lambda)q_A(y) - q_A(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = \lambda(1-\lambda)q_A(x-y) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda(1-\lambda)\langle x-y, Ax-Ay\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Consequently, q_A is convex.

Proof. Proposition 5.1(iv)&(v) show that q_A is single-valued and that $q_A \geq 0$. Combining with Proposition 5.1(i)&(iii), we obtain (43). Therefore, q_A is convex. ■

As in the classical case, q_A allows us to connect properties of A_+ to those of A and A^*.

Proposition 5.4 (Properties of the symmetric part and the adjoint) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation. Then the following hold.*

(i) $\overline{q_A} + \iota_{\operatorname{dom} A_+} = q_{A_+}$ *and thus q_{A_+} is convex.*

(ii) $\operatorname{gra} A_+ \subseteq \operatorname{gra} \partial\overline{q_A}$. *If A_+ is maximally monotone, then $A_+ = \partial\overline{q_A}$.*

(iii) *If A is maximally monotone and* $\operatorname{dom} A$ *is closed, then* $A_+ = \partial\overline{q_A}$.

(iv) *If A is maximally monotone, then* $A^*|_X$ *is monotone.*

(v) *If A is maximally monotone and* $\operatorname{dom} A$ *is closed, then* $A^*|_X$ *is maximally monotone.*

Proof. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom} A_+$.

(i): By Fact 2.10(iii) and Proposition 5.1(iv), $q_{A_+} = q_A|_{\operatorname{dom} A_+}$. Then by Proposition 5.3, q_{A_+} is convex. Let $y \in \operatorname{dom} A$. Then by Fact 2.10(iii),

$$0 \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\langle Ax - Ay, x - y\rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}\langle Ay, y\rangle + \tfrac{1}{2}\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle A_+x, y\rangle, \tag{44}$$

we have $q_A(y) \geq \langle A_+x, y\rangle - q_A(x)$. Take the lower semicontinuous hull of q_A at y to deduce that $\overline{q_A}(y) \geq \langle A_+x, y\rangle - q_A(x)$. For $y = x$, we have $\overline{q_A}(x) \geq q_A(x)$. On the other hand, $\overline{q_A} \leq q_A$. Altogether, $\overline{q_A}(x) = q_A(x) = q_{A_+}(x)$. Thus (i) holds.

(ii): Let $y \in \operatorname{dom} A$. By (44) and (i),

$$q_A(y) \geq q_A(x) + \langle A_+x, y - x\rangle = \overline{q_A}(x) + \langle A_+x, y - x\rangle. \tag{45}$$

Since $\operatorname{dom}\overline{q_A} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom} q_A} = \overline{\operatorname{dom} A}$, by (45), $\overline{q_A}(z) \geq \overline{q_A}(x) + \langle A_+x, z - x\rangle, \quad \forall z \in \operatorname{dom}\overline{q_A}$. Hence $A_+x \subseteq \partial\overline{q_A}(x)$. If A_+ is maximally monotone, then $A_+ = \partial\overline{q_A}$. Thus (ii) holds.

(iii): Combine Proposition 5.2(vi) with (ii).

(iv): Suppose to the contrary that $A^*|_X$ is not monotone. By Proposition 5.1(v), there exists $(x_0, x_0^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$ with $x_0 \in X$ such that $\langle x_0, x_0^*\rangle < 0$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle -x_0 - y, x_0^* - y^*\rangle &= -\langle x_0, x_0^*\rangle + \langle y, y^*\rangle + \langle x_0, y^*\rangle - \langle y, x_0^*\rangle \\ &= -\langle x_0, x_0^*\rangle + \langle y, y^*\rangle > 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A.\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Thus, $(-x_0, x_0^*)$ is monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A$. By maximal monotonicity of A, $(-x_0, x_0^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. Then $\langle -x_0 - (-x_0), x_0^* - x_0^*\rangle = 0$, which contradicts (46). Hence $A^*|_X$ is monotone.

(v): By Fact 2.10(vi), $\operatorname{dom} A^*|_X = (A0)_\perp$ and thus $\operatorname{dom} A^*|_X$ is closed. By Fact 2.1 and Proposition 5.2(i), $(\operatorname{dom} A^*|_X)^\perp = ((A0)_\perp)^\perp = \overline{A0}^{\mathrm{w}^*} = A0$. Then by Proposition 5.2(iii), $(\operatorname{dom} A^*|_X)^\perp = A^*0 = A^*|_X 0$. Applying (iv) and Proposition 5.2(ii), we see that $A^*|_X$ is maximally monotone. ■

The proof of Proposition 5.4(iv) was borrowed from [19, Theorem 2]. Results very similar to Proposition 5.4(i)&(ii) are verified in [43, Proposition 18.9].

The proof of the next Theorem 5.5(i)⇒(ii) was partially inspired by that of [2, Theorem 4.1(v)⇒(vi)]. In it we present our main findings relating monotonicity and adjoint properties of A and those of its skew part $A_\circ$.

Theorem 5.5 (Monotone linear relations with closed graph and domain) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed and* $\operatorname{dom} A$ *is closed. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A is maximally monotone of type (D).*

(ii) *$A_\circ$ is maximally monotone of type (D) with respect to $X \times X^*$ and $A^*0 = A0$.*

(iii) *$(A_\circ)^*$ is maximally monotone with respect to $X^{**} \times X^*$ and $A^*0 = A0$.*

(iv) *$(A_\circ)^*$ is monotone and $A^*0 = A0$.*

(v) *A^* is monotone.*

(vi) *A^* is maximally monotone with respect to $X^{**} \times X^*$.*

Proof. "(i)⇒(ii)": By Theorem 4.1,

$$A^* \text{ is monotone.} \tag{47}$$

By Proposition 5.4(iii) and Fact 1.2,

$$A_+ \text{ is maximally monotone of type (D).} \tag{48}$$

By Theorem 4.1,

$$(A_+)^* \text{ is monotone.} \tag{49}$$

Now we show that

$$(A_\circ)^* \text{ is monotone.} \tag{50}$$

Proposition 5.2(vii) implies

$$A^* = (A_+)^* + (A_\circ)^*. \tag{51}$$

Since A is maximally monotone and $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, Proposition 5.2(vi) implies that $A_\circ$ is maximally monotone. Hence $\operatorname{gra}(A_\circ)$ is closed. On the other hand, again since A is maximally monotone and $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, Proposition 5.2(iv) yields $\operatorname{dom}(A_\circ) = \operatorname{dom} A$ is closed. Altogether, and combining with Fact 2.10(vi) applied to $A_\circ$, we obtain $\operatorname{dom}(A_\circ)^* = (A_\circ 0)^\perp$. Furthermore, since $A0 = A_\circ 0$ by Proposition 5.2(iii), we have $(A0)^\perp = (A_\circ 0)^\perp$. Moreover, applying Fact 2.10(vi) to A, we deduce that $\operatorname{dom} A^* = (A0)^\perp$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{dom}(A_\circ)^* = (A_\circ 0)^\perp = (A0)^\perp = \operatorname{dom} A^*. \tag{52}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\operatorname{dom}(A_+)^* = \operatorname{dom} A^*. \tag{53}$$

Take $(x^{**}, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra}(A_\circ)^*$. By (51) and (52), there exist $a^*, b^* \in X^*$ such that

$$(x^{**}, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*, (x^{**}, b^*) \in \operatorname{gra}(A_+)^* \tag{54}$$

and

$$a^* = b^* + x^*. \tag{55}$$

Since A_+ is symmetric, $\operatorname{gra} A_+ \subseteq \operatorname{gra}(A_+)^*$. Thus, by (49), (x^{**}, b^*) is monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A_+$. By (48), there exist a bounded net $(a_\alpha, b_\alpha^*)_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ in $\operatorname{gra} A_+$ such that $(a_\alpha, b_\alpha^*)_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ weak*$\times$strong converges to (x^{**}, b^*). Thus $(a_\alpha, b_\alpha^*) \in \operatorname{gra}(A_+)^*$. By (53) and (51), there exist $a_\alpha^* \in A^* a_\alpha, c_\alpha^* \in (A_\circ)^* a_\alpha$ such that

$$a_\alpha^* = b_\alpha^* + c_\alpha^*, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{56}$$

Thus by Fact 2.10(iii),

$$\langle a_\alpha, c_\alpha^* \rangle = \langle A_\circ a_\alpha, a_\alpha \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{57}$$

Hence for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $(-a_\alpha, c_\alpha^*)$ is monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A_\circ$. By Proposition 5.2(vi),

$$(-a_\alpha, c_\alpha^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A_\circ, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{58}$$

By (47) and (54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\le \langle x^{**} - a_\alpha, a^* - a_\alpha^* \rangle = \langle x^{**} - a_\alpha, a^* - b_\alpha^* - c_\alpha^* \rangle \quad \text{(by (56))} \\ &= \langle x^{**} - a_\alpha, a^* - b_\alpha^* \rangle - \langle x^{**}, c_\alpha^* \rangle + \langle a_\alpha, c_\alpha^* \rangle \\ &= \langle x^{**} - a_\alpha, a^* - b_\alpha^* \rangle - \langle x^{**}, c_\alpha^* \rangle \quad \text{(by (57))} \\ &= \langle x^{**} - a_\alpha, a^* - b_\alpha^* \rangle + \langle x^*, a_\alpha \rangle \quad \text{(by (58) and } (x^{**}, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra}(A_\circ)^*\text{)}. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Taking the limit in (59) along with $a_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{w}^*} x^{**}$ and $b_\alpha^* \to b^*$, we have

$$\langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle \ge 0.$$

Hence $(A_\circ)^*$ is monotone and thus (50) holds. Combining (50), Proposition 5.2(vi) and Theorem 4.1, we see that $A_\circ$ is of type (D).

"(ii)$\Rightarrow$(iii)$\Rightarrow$(iv)": Apply Corollary 4.4 to $A_\circ$.

"(iv)$\Rightarrow$(v)": By Fact 2.10(iv) and Proposition 5.2(ii), A is maximally monotone. Then by Proposition 5.2(vii) and Proposition 5.4(iii), we have

$$A^* = (A_+)^* + (A_\circ)^* \text{ and } A_+ = \partial \overline{q_A}. \tag{60}$$

Then A_+ is of type (D) by Fact 1.2, and hence $(A_+)^*$ is monotone by Theorem 4.1. Thus, by the assumption and (60), we have A^* is monotone.

"(v)⇒(vi)": By Proposition 3.2, A is maximally monotone. Then by Fact 2.10(vi) and Proposition 5.2(iii),

$$\operatorname{dom} A^* = (A^*0)^\perp. \tag{61}$$

Then by Fact 2.1 and Fact 2.10(iv),

$$[\operatorname{dom} A^*]_\perp = A^*0. \tag{62}$$

Let $(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*$ be monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A^*$. Because $\{0\} \times A^*0 \subseteq \operatorname{gra} A^*$, we have $\inf\langle x^{**}, x^* - A^*0\rangle \geq 0$. Since A^*0 is a subspace, $x^{**} \in (A^*0)^\perp$. Then by (61),

$$x^{**} \in \operatorname{dom} A^*. \tag{63}$$

Take $(x^{**}, x_0^{**}) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$ and $\lambda > 0$. For every $(a^{**}, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$, we have $(\lambda a^{**}, \lambda a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$ and hence $(x^{**} + \lambda a^{**}, x_0^* + \lambda a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$ (since $\operatorname{gra} A^*$ is a subspace). Thus

$$\lambda\langle a^{**}, x_0^* + \lambda a^* - x^*\rangle = \langle x^{**} + \lambda a^{**} - x^{**}, x_0^* + \lambda a^* - x^*\rangle \geq 0.$$

Now divide by λ to obtain $\lambda\langle a^{**}, a^*\rangle \geq \langle a^{**}, x^* - x_0^*\rangle$. Then let $\lambda \to 0^+$ to see that $0 \geq \sup\langle \operatorname{dom} A^*,\ x^* - x_0^*\rangle$. Thus, $x^* - x_0^* \in (\operatorname{dom} A^*)_\perp$. By (62), $x^* \in x_0^* + A^*0 \subseteq A^*x^{**} + A^*0$. Then there exists $(0, z^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$ such that $(x^{**}, x^* - z^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$. Since $\operatorname{gra} A^*$ is s a subspace, $(x^{**}, x^*) = (0, z^*) + (x^{**}, x^* - z^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A^*$. Hence A^* is maximally monotone with respect to $X^{**} \times X^*$.

"(vi)⇒(i)": Apply Proposition 3.2 directly. ■

The next three examples show the need for various of our auxiliary hypotheses.

Example 5.6 We cannot remove the condition that $A^*0 = A0$ in Theorem 5.5(iv). For example, suppose that $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and set $e_1 = (1, 0), e_2 = (0, 1)$. We define $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ by

$$\operatorname{gra} A = \operatorname{span}\{e_1\} \times \{0\} \ \text{ so that } \ \operatorname{gra} A^* = X \times \operatorname{span}\{e_2\}.$$

Then A is monotone, $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, and $\operatorname{gra} A$ is closed. Thus

$$\operatorname{gra} A_\circ = \operatorname{span}\{e_1\} \times \operatorname{span}\{e_2\} \tag{64}$$

and so

$$\operatorname{gra}(A_\circ)^* = \operatorname{span}\{e_2\} \times \operatorname{span}\{e_1\}.$$

Hence $(A_\circ)^*$ is monotone, but A is not maximally monotone because $\operatorname{gra} A \subsetneqq \operatorname{gra} N_X$. ■

Example 5.7 We cannot replace that "$\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed" by that "$\operatorname{dom} A$ is dense" in the statement of Theorem 5.5. For example, let X, A be defined as in Example 3.4 and consider the operator A^*. Example 3.4(iii)&(ii) state that A^* is maximally monotone with dense domain; hence, $\operatorname{gra} A^*$ is closed. Moreover, by Example 3.4(i),

$$(A^*)_\circ = -A. \tag{65}$$

Hence

$$[(A^*)_\circ]^* = -A^*. \tag{66}$$

Thus $[(A^*)_\circ]^*$ is not monotone by Example 3.4(iii); even though A^* is a classically maximally monotone and densely defined linear operator. ■

Example 5.8 We cannot remove the condition that $(A_\circ)^*$ is monotone in Theorem 5.5(iv). For example, consider the Gossez operator A (see [24] and [2]). It satisfies $X = \ell^1$, $\operatorname{dom} A = X$, $A_\circ = A$, $A0 = \{0\} = A^*0$, yet A^* is not monotone. ■

Remark 5.9 Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation.

(i) In general, $(A^*)_\circ \neq (A_\circ)^*$. To see that, let X, A be as in Example 3.4 again. By Example 3.4(i), we have

$$(A^*)_\circ = -A \text{ and } (A_\circ)^* = A^*.$$

Hence $(A^*)_\circ \neq (A_\circ)^*$ by Example 3.4(ii). Moreover, even when A is a linear and continuous operator, one cannot deduce that $(A^*)_\circ = (A_\circ)^*$. Indeed, assume that X and A are as in Example 5.8. Then $(A^*)_\circ$ is skew and hence monotone; however, $(A_\circ)^* = A^*$ is not monotone.

(ii) However, if X is finite-dimensional, we do have $(A^*)_\circ = (A_\circ)^*$. Indeed, by Fact 2.6,

$$(A_\circ)^* = \left(\frac{A - A^*}{2}\right)^* = \frac{A^* - A^{**}}{2} = (A^*)_\circ.$$

We expect that $(A^*)_\circ = (A_\circ)^*$ for all maximally monotone linear relations if and only if X is finite-dimensional.

The work in [5] suggests that in every nonreflexive Banach space there is a maximally monotone linear relation which is not of type (D).

When A is linear and continuous, Theorem 5.5 can also be deduced from [2, Theorem 4.1]. When X is reflexive and $\operatorname{dom} A$ is closed, Theorem 5.5 turns into the following refined version of Fact 2.9:

Corollary 5.10 (Monotonicity of the adjoint in reflexive space) *Suppose that X is reflexive and let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed and* $\operatorname{dom} A$ *is closed. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A is maximally monotone.*

(ii) *A^* is monotone.*

(iii) *A^* is maximally monotone.*

(iv) *$A0 = A^*0$.*

Proof. "(i)⇔(ii)⇔(iii)⇒(iv)": This follows from Theorem 5.5 and Fact 1.2(ii).

"(iv)⇒(i)": Fact 2.10(iv) implies that $(\operatorname{dom} A)^\perp = A^*0 = A0$. By Proposition 5.2(ii), A is maximally monotone. ■

When X is finite-dimensional, the closure assumptions in the previous result are automatically satisfied and we thus obtain the following:

Corollary 5.11 (Monotonicity of the adjoint in finite-dimensional space) *Suppose that X is finite-dimensional. Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *A is maximally monotone.*

(ii) *A^* is monotone.*

(iii) *A^* is maximally monotone.*

(iv) *$A0 = A^*0$.*

Acknowledgments

Heinz Bauschke was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by the Canada Research Chair Program. Jonathan Borwein was partially supported by the Australian Research Council. Xianfu Wang was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

[1] H. Attouch and H. Brézis, "Duality for the sum of convex functions in general Banach spaces", *Aspects of Mathematics and its Applications*, J. A. Barroso, ed., Elsevier Science Publishers, pp. 125–133, 1986.

[2] H.H. Bauschke and J.M. Borwein, "Maximal monotonicity of dense type, local maximal monotonicity, and monotonicity of the conjugate are all the same for continuous linear operators", *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 189, pp. 1–20, 1999.

[3] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, and X. Wang, "Fitzpatrick functions and continuous linear monotone operators", *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 18, pp. 789–809, 2007.

[4] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "Every maximally monotone operator of Fitzpatrick-Phelps type is actually of dense type", *Optimization Letters*, in press.

[5] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "Construction of pathological maximally monotone operators on non-reflexive Banach spaces", submitted; `http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.1463`, August 2011.

[6] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "The Brézis-Browder Theorem in a general Banach space"; `http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.5706v1`, October 2011.

[7] H.H. Bauschke and P.L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer, 2011.

[8] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "Monotone linear relations: maximality and Fitzpatrick functions", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 673–686, 2009.

[9] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "Examples of discontinuous maximal monotone linear operators and the solution to a recent problem posed by B.F. Svaiter", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 370, pp. 224-241, 2010.

[10] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "On Borwein-Wiersma decompositions of monotone linear relations", *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 20, pp. 2636–2652, 2010.

[11] J.M. Borwein, "A note on ε-subgradients and maximal monotonicity", *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 103, pp. 307–314, 1982.

[12] J.M. Borwein, "Adjoint process duality", *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 8, pp. 403–434, 1983.

[13] J.M. Borwein, "Maximal monotonicity via convex analysis", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 13, pp. 561–586, 2006.

[14] J.M. Borwein, "Maximality of sums of two maximal monotone operators in general Banach space", *Proceedings of the AMS*, vol. 135, pp. 3917–3924, 2007.

[15] J.M. Borwein, "Fifty years of maximal monotonicity", *Optimization Letters*, vol. 4, pp. 473–490, 2010.

[16] J.M. Borwein and J.D. Vanderwerff, *Convex Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[17] H. Brézis, "On some degenerate nonlinear parabolic equations", in *Nonlinear Functional Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. XVIII, part 1, Chicago, Ill., 1968)*, AMS, pp. 28–38, 1970.

[18] H. Brézis and F.E. Browder, "Singular Hammerstein equations and maximal monotone operators", *Bulletin of the AMS*, vol. 82, pp. 623–625, 1976.

[19] H. Brézis and F.E. Browder, "Linear maximal monotone operators and singular nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein type", in *Nonlinear Analysis (collection of papers in honor of Erich H. Rothe)*, Academic Press, pp. 31–42, 1978.

[20] R.S. Burachik and A.N. Iusem, *Set-Valued Mappings and Enlargements of Monotone Operators*, Springer, 2008.

[21] R. Cross, *Multivalued Linear Operators*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.

[22] S. Fitzpatrick and R.R. Phelps, "Bounded approximants to monotone operators on Banach spaces", *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse Non Linéaire*, vol. 9, pp. 573–595, 1992.

[23] J.-P. Gossez, "Opérateurs monotones non linéaires dans les espaces de Banach non réflexifs", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 34, pp. 371–395, 1971.

[24] J.-P. Gossez, "On the range of a coercive maximal monotone operator in a nonreflexive Banach space", *Proceedings of the AMS*, vol. 35, pp. 88–92, 1972.

[25] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter, "A new proof for maximal monotonicity of subdifferential operators", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 15, pp. 345–348, 2008.

[26] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter, "On Gossez type (D) maximal monotone operators", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 17, pp. 1077–1088, 2010.

[27] J.-E. Martínez-Legaz and B.F. Svaiter, "Monotone operators representable by l.s.c. convex functions", *Set-Valued Analysis*, vol. 13, pp. 21–46, 2005.

[28] R.E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[29] R.R. Phelps, "Lectures on maximal monotone operators", *Extracta Mathematicae*, vol. 12, pp. 193–230, 1997; `http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9302209v1`, February 1993.

[30] R.R. Phelps, *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[31] R.R. Phelps and S. Simons, "Unbounded linear monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces", *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 5, pp. 303–328, 1998.

[32] R.T. Rockafellar, "Extension of Fenchel's duality theorem for convex functions", *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 33, pp. 81–89, 1966.

[33] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J-B Wets, *Variational Analysis*, 3rd printing, Springer, 2009.

[34] R. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1991.

[35] S. Simons, "The range of a monotone operator", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 199, pp. 176–201, 1996.

[36] S. Simons, *Minimax and Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[37] S. Simons, "Five kinds of maximal monotonicity", *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 9, pp. 391–409, 2001.

[38] S. Simons, *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[39] S. Simons, "Banach SSD Spaces and classes of monotone sets", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 18, pp. 227–258, 2011.

[40] S. Simons, "A Brezis-Browder theorem for SSDB spaces", preprint. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.4251v3`, September 2010.

[41] S. Simons, "Linear q-positive sets and their polar subspaces ", preprint. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.0280v1`, December 2011.

[42] S. Simons and C. Zălinescu, "Fenchel duality, Fitzpatrick functions and maximal monotonicity," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 6, pp. 1–22, 2005.

[43] L. Yao, "The Brézis-Browder Theorem revisited and properties of Fitzpatrick functions of order n", *Fixed Point Theory for Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering (Banff 2009)*, Springer-Verlag, vol. 49, pp. 391–402, 2011.

[44] C. Zălinescu, *Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing, 2002.

[45] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Application, Vol II/A Linear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1990.

[46] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Application, Vol II/B Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1990.